Judge:	Hon. Marc L. Barreca
Chapter:	Chapter 7
Hearing Date:	June 1, 2012
Hearing Time:	9:30 a.m.
Hearing Site:	700 Stewart St, #7106 Seattle, WA 98101
Response Date:	May 25, 2012

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

In re: ADAM GROSSMAN , Debtor.	Case No. 10-19817 **DECLARATION OF KARMA ZAIKE IN RELATION TO MOTION TO APPROVE SETTLEMENT OF ISSUES RELATING TO 868 MONTCREST DRIVE, REDDING, CALIFORNIA**

Karma L. Zaike, declares under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of Washington as follows:

1. I represent Rabbi Jill I. Borodin in the state Superior Court dissolution matter and the matter currently pending in the court of appeals filed by Mr. Grossman. But for Mr. Grossman's failure to follow the Court of Appeals' deadlines, the appeal relating to the dissolution proceeding would have been decided long ago.

2. Mr. Grossman has filed seven (7) motions requesting continuances, plus the appellate court has unilaterally granted several continuances due to Mr. Grossman's failure to adhere to the court's timeline. If Mr. Grossman had complied with the court's rules and deadlines, the appellate case would likely have been decided months ago.

Wood & Jones, P.S.
303 N. 67th Street
Seattle WA 98103
(206) 623-4382

3. Mr. Grossman has not filed a motion in either Superior Court or the Court of Appeals for a stay from the December 19, 2010 decision and has never posted a bond pending appeal.

4. Mr. Grossman's appeal is scheduled for "Non-Oral Argument Hearing" on June 5, 2012. This hearing has no bearing on the date that the court's opinion will be issued. It could be days, weeks or months. A decision will continue to be delayed if Mr. Grossman continues filing frivolous motions in that proceeding.

5. Rabbi Borodin has done everything possible to cooperate. She would like closure in her divorce from Mr. Grossman. The settlement submitted for approval has no relation to the appeal. In fact, as a result of Mr. Grossman's many delays, there was no certainty as to when the appellate case would be scheduled for hearing. The court denied many of Mr. Grossman's motions on April 24 and again on May 11 (see attached rulings). I will not be surprised if Mr. Grossman continues to take steps to intentionally delay the hearing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

Signed and dated this 29th day of May, 2012 in Seattle, WA.

Karma Zaike

KARMA L. ZAIKE, WSBA#31037

Wood & Jones, P.S.
303 N. 67th Street
Seattle WA 98103
(206) 623-4382

EXHIBIT“1”

The Court of Appeals
of the
State of Washington

RICHARD D. JOHNSON,
Court Administrator/Clerk

DIVISION I
One Union Square
600 University Street
Seattle, WA
98101-4170
(206) 464-7750
TDD: (206) 587-5505

April 24, 2012

William Clay Budigan
Budigan Law Firm
2601 42nd Ave W
Seattle, WA, 98199-3011
info@budiganlaw.com

Adam Reed Grossman
5766 28th Avenue NE
Seattle, WA, 98105
kc@adamreedgrossman.com

Karma L Zaike
Michael W Bugni & Associates
11300 Roosevelt Way NE Ste 300
Seattle, WA, 98125-6228
karma@lawgate.net

CASE #: 66635-5-I
Adam Reed Grossman, Appellant v. Jill I. Borodin, Respondent

Counsel:

The following notation ruling by Richard D. Johnson, Court Administrator/Clerk of the Court was entered on April 24, 2012:

> The appellant, Adam Grossman, has filed a number of motions, the majority of which are essentially the same in substance as motions that he previously filed in this case and that were disposed of by a Notation Ruling dated March 13, 2012.
>
> Grossman's motion to consolidate No. 66053-5, No. 66635-5, No. 67830-2 is essentially the same as his prior motion to consolidate, which was denied. Although he has filed a motion to recall the mandate in No. 66053-5 and had paid the filing fee in No. 67830-2, at the direction of the panel his current motion to consolidate is denied. This case is set for consideration without oral argument on June 5, 2012, and consolidation at this late date would be inappropriate.
>
> Grossman's motion to recall the mandate in No. 66053-3 is denied. Because Grossman's motion to consolidate is denied, there is no reason to recall the mandate in No. 66053-3.
>
> At the direction of the panel, Grossman's motion for leave to file an amended opening brief and his motion for leave to file an over-length amended opening brief not to exceed 180 pages are denied. The Notation Ruling filed March 13, 2012, granted Grossman an extension to April 10, 2012 to file a reply brief. To date, no reply brief has been filed. Instead, Grossman filed this motion for leave to file an amended opening brief and motion for leave to file an over-length amended opening brief not to exceed 180 pages. At the direction of the panel, these motions are denied.

April 24, 2012

CASE #: 66635-5-I
Adam Reed Grossman, Appellant v. Jill I. Borodin, Respondent

Grossman is granted an extension until May 7, 2012 to file a reply brief not to exceed 25 pages in length pursuant to RAP 10.4(b). No further extensions should be anticipated.

Grossman's motion to consider unpublished cases is denied.

Grossman's motion for extension of time for filing the foregoing motions is denied as it is unnecessary.

Now, therefore, at the direction of the panel it is hereby

ORDERED that Grossman's motion to consolidate No. 66053-5, No. 66635-5, and No. 67830-2 is denied; and, it is further

ORDERED that Grossman's motion to recall the mandate in No. 66053-3 is denied; and, it is further

ORDERED that Grossman's motion for leave to file an amended opening brief is denied; and, it is further

ORDERED that Grossman's motion for leave to file an overlength amended opening brief is denied; and, it is further

ORDERED that Grossman's reply brief in No. 66635-5 is due May 7, 2012; and, it is further

ORDERED that Grossman's motion to consider unpublished cases is denied; and, it is further

ORDERED that Grossman's motion for extension of time for filing is denied.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Johnson
Court Administrator/Clerk

lls

The Court of Appeals
of the
State of Washington

RICHARD D. JOHNSON,
Court Administrator/Clerk

DIVISION I
One Union Square
600 University Street
Seattle, WA
98101-4170
(206) 464-7750
TDD: (206) 587-5505

May 11, 2012

Adam Reed Grossman
5766 28th Avenue NE
Seattle, WA, 98105
kc@adamreedgrossman.com

Patrick Henry Brick
Attorney at Law
500 Union St Ste 500
Seattle, WA, 98101-4047
bricklaw@msn.com

Karma L Zaike
Michael W Bugni & Associates
11300 Roosevelt Way NE Ste 300
Seattle, WA, 98125-6228
karma@lawgate.net

William Clay Budigan
Budigan Law Firm
2601 42nd Ave W
Seattle, WA, 98199-3011
info@budiganlaw.com

CASE #: 66635-5-I
Adam Reed Grossman, Appellant v. Jill I. Borodin, Respondent

Counsel:

Enclosed please find a copy of the order entered by this court in the above case today.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Johnson
Court Administrator/Clerk

lls

enclosure

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
DIVISION ONE

In the Matter of the Marriage of JILL IRINA BORODIN, Respondent, and ADAM REED GROSSMAN, Appellant.	)	No. 66635-5-I ORDER DENYING MOTION TO FILE OVER-LENGTH BRIEF

The appellant, Adam Grossman, has filed a motion to file an over-length reply brief along with the brief. The court has taken the matter under consideration and has determined that the motion should be denied, the reply brief shall not be considered, and no further briefing in this matter will be accepted.

Now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion to file over-length brief is denied; and, it is further

ORDERED that the appellant's reply brief shall not be considered; and, it is further

ORDERED that no further briefing in this matter will be accepted.

Done this 11th day of May, 2012.

FOR THE COURT:

Grosse, J

Judge

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS DIV 1
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2012 MAY 11 PM 3:22

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
DIVISION ONE

In the Matter of the Marriage of JILL IRINA BORODIN, Respondent, and ADAM REED GROSSMAN, Appellant.	)))))))))))	No. 66635-5-I ORDER DENYING MOTION TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

Joanna Strober has filed a motion to file amicus curiae brief in support of the appellant, Adam Grossman. The court has taken the matter under consideration and has determined that the motion should be denied.

Now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion to file amicus curiae brief is denied.

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS DIV I
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2012 MAY 11 AM 8:19

Done this 11th day of May, 2012.

FOR THE COURT:

Grosse, J

Judge

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
DIVISION ONE

In the Matter of the Marriage of JILL IRINA BORODIN, Respondent, and ADAM REED GROSSMAN, Appellant.	No. 66635-5-I ORDER DENYING MOTION TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

The Washington Domestic Violence Commission has filed a motion to file amicus curiae brief in support of the appellant, Adam Grossman. The court has taken the matter under consideration and has determined that the motion should be denied.

Now, therefore, it is hereby

ORDERED that the motion to file amicus curiae brief is denied.

Done this 11th day of May, 2012.

FILED
COURT OF APPEALS DIV I
STATE OF WASHINGTON
2012 MAY 11 AM 8:19

FOR THE COURT:

Grosse, J.
Judge